

PROJECT SUN STREAK (U)

Intelligence Evaluation Sheet

SECTION I

Does the information satisfy your Intelligence Collection Requirement?	YES	IN PART	NO	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

SECTION II

This section deals exclusively with the accuracy of the information provided in this report only. Disregard any previous data or IES forms you may have already received when completing this section of this form. Responses are scaled from 0-4 utilizing the following explanatory criteria:

- 0 - Little or no correspondence with known or suspected components of the target site.
- 1 - Evidence that the proper target site is being described, however the information contains a mixture of correct and incorrect elements.
- 2 - Good site correspondence with several items recognizable or confirmatory in nature but some incorrect or irrelevant information is reported.
- 3 - Good correspondence with the target with unambiguous and unique information and relatively little known incorrect information.
- 4 - Data correctly fulfills tasking in a manner which positively enhances current holdings and operational interest in this site by reporting on heretofore unknown data.

<p>11a - Geographic locale description, i.e., terrain, bodies of water, mountains, etc.</p>	0	1	2	3	4	UNKNOWN	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
<p>11b - Large scale manmade objects, i.e., cities, railyards, silos, docks, etc.</p>	0	1	2	3	4	UNKNOWN	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
<p>11c - Small scale manmade structures, i.e., antennae, computers, vehicles, missiles, small buildings, etc.</p>	0	1	2	3	4	UNKNOWN	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
<p>11d - General target functions, i.e., research, production, training, storage, troop billets, etc.</p>	0	1	2	3	4	UNKNOWN	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
<p>11e - Specific target functions, i.e., nuclear testing, CBR storage/research, missile testing, etc.</p>	0	1	2	3	4	UNKNOWN	CANNOT BE DETERMINED

III - Personalities at site, i.e., descriptions, activities, responsibilities, political proclivity, support for government.

<input type="checkbox"/>	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	<input type="checkbox"/>	3	<input type="checkbox"/>	4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UNKNOWN	<input type="checkbox"/>	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
--------------------------	---	--------------------------	---	--------------------------	---	--------------------------	---	--------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	---------	--------------------------	----------------------

Fig - Data of predictive importance, i.e., plans, preparations hostile intentions.

<input type="checkbox"/>	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	<input type="checkbox"/>	3	<input type="checkbox"/>	4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UNKNOWN	<input type="checkbox"/>	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
--------------------------	---	--------------------------	---	--------------------------	---	--------------------------	---	--------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	---------	--------------------------	----------------------

SECTION III

Please check which best describes the reliability of the information provided, based on the known data pertaining to this target, (understanding that subsequent information could raise or lower this interim assessment at a later date).

<input type="checkbox"/>	VERY USEFUL	<input type="checkbox"/>	USEFUL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MARGINAL VALUE	<input type="checkbox"/>	NO VALUE	<input type="checkbox"/>	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
--------------------------	-------------	--------------------------	--------	-------------------------------------	----------------	--------------------------	----------	--------------------------	----------------------

SECTION IV

Has information previously provided to you by this office pertaining to this target which may have initially been labelled erroneous, irrelevant or whose relevance could not be determined, been found to have subsequent importance? If so, please explain by noting the IES control number (if any) and the specific nature of the information that was previously discounted and the reasons for current reevaluation. Please use a separate sheet of paper for your comments.

W/A

SECTION V

Has information previously provided to you by this office pertaining to this target which may have initially been rated "Of Value" (or better), been subsequently found to be of a lesser rated reliability or erroneous in nature? If so, please explain by noting the IES control number and the specific nature of the information that was previously rated and the reasons for the current reassessment. Please use separate sheet of paper for your comments.

N/A

NOTE: This organization solicits your frank and informal comment on any aspect of this project which could better aid us in providing high quality intelligence to the consumer. Please feel free to use the space below, (or additional sheets of paper as necessary), in any format you deem adequate to convey your thoughts.

ALL INFORMATION ACCURATE, AS PROVIDED, BUT SERVES CONFIRMATORY PURPOSE,
ONLY, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF REFERENCE TO UNDERGROUND PIPING OF LIQUIDS, AND
"PUNGENT odor". LIQUID HAS HIGH PROBABILITY OF BEING PLAIN WATER.

2000-06 APR 88 ✓




PROJECT A

3

2

1

0



REMOTE VIEWER